MASS OF THE NEUTRINO AND ITS CHARGE

Rasulkhozha S. Sharafiddinov

Institute of Nuclear Physics, Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, Tashkent, 702132 Ulugbek, Uzbekistan

arXiv:hep-ph/0306255v2 10 Oct 2003

Both electron and its neutrino possess not only the anomalous magnetic moment but each of existing types of the electric charges and their dipole moments. Any of them can interact with field of emission leading to the elastic scattering on a spinless nucleus. We list some implications implied from the analysis of these phenomena in the case of one - photon exchange. The processes cross sections give the possibility to establish the individual as well as the united equation between the Dirac and the Pauli form factors of light leptons. They define the electronic neutrino electric charge as a consequence of the availability of a kind of mass.

In many works a question about the neutrino with a non - zero rest mass was investigated. Analysis of existing experiments assumed [1] that a massive Dirac neutrino must have not only the magnetic moment [2] but also the electric charge. Such a conclusion one can make by following the behavior of the neutrino in the nucleus field. From this point of view, the spin phenomena [3] may become highly useful.

In a given work the elastic scattering of electrons and their neutrinos on a spinless nucleus have been considered at the account of fermions charge and magnetic moment interactions with field of emission of virtual photons. Starting from the processes cross sections equality for longitudinal polarized and unpolarized particles, it is shown that if the neutrino corresponds to the electron ($\nu = \nu_e$), between the Dirac and the Pauli form factors of the neutrino and electron there exists the individual as well as the united dependence. They state that the four - component neutrino possesses both normal and anomalous electric charges. Herewith its full electric charge has the size

$$e_\nu = -\frac{3eG_F m_\nu^2}{4\pi^2\sqrt{2}}, \quad e = |e|. \tag{1}$$

Such a regularity, however, meets with many problems which give the possibility to make the most diverse predictions. The treatment of any of them would bring us too far and all they therefore will require the more detailed description. But here we can add the following.

Using (1) for the neutrino [4] with mass $m_\nu = 10$ eV and taking into account [5] that $G_F = 1.16637 \cdot 10^{-5}$ GeV^{-2}, we find

$$e_\nu = 6.267 \cdot 10^{-25} \left(\frac{m_\nu}{1 \text{ eV}}\right)^2 \text{ e} = 6.27 \cdot 10^{-23} \text{ e}. \tag{2}$$

Earlier laboratory facts and conservation of charge in neutron decay define the upper limit [6] equal to $e_\nu < 4 \cdot 10^{-17}$ e. Refinement of each of the electric charges of the neutron, proton and electron allows to conclude [1] that $e_\nu < 10^{-21}$ e. Analysis of elastic $\overline{\nu_e} e$ scattering experiment [7] assumed [8] that $e_\nu < 2.7 \cdot 10^{-10}$ e. Cosmological considerations for the neutrino charge lead to the estimate [9] of $e_\nu < 10^{-17}$ e.

We recognize that (2) violates the charge conservation law. There are many uncertainties both in the nature and in the size of the neutrino mass. Another reason of inconsistency is the absence of quality picture of $\beta-$ decay processes. Nevertheless, if we suppose [1] that $e_\nu < 10^{-21}$ e then taking (1),

it is not difficult to establish the theoretical bound on the neutrino mass: $m_\nu < 40$ eV. It is compatible with that following from the experiment [10]: 14 eV $< m_\nu <$ 46 eV.

Basing on the analysis of evolution of the Universe, it was found [11] that $m_\nu < 0.3$ eV. Insertion of this value in (1) gives $e_\nu < 5.64 \cdot 10^{-26}$ e.

Having the formula (1) and by following the fact that the force of the Newton attraction between the two neutrinos is less than the force of their Coulomb repulsion, we get the following estimates of

$$m_\nu > \frac{4\pi^2\sqrt{2}}{3G_F}\left(\frac{G_N}{\alpha}\right)^{1/2} = 1.53 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ eV}, \tag{3}$$

$$e_\nu > \frac{4\pi^2\sqrt{2}}{3G_F}\left(\frac{G_N}{\alpha}\right) \text{ e} = 1.46 \cdot 10^{-30} \text{ e}, \tag{4}$$

where G_N is the constant of gravitational emission.

Of course, such a definition of values of (3) and (4) is not very standard. At the same time the existing laboratory bounds may serve as further confirmations of our earlier findings. Insofar as the discrepancy is concerned, it reflects just many properties of a certain latent regularity of general picture of massive neutrinos.

In the framework of the loop phenomena, the neutrino must be electrically neutral [12] at the condition of gauge invariance. It appears that here on the basis of (1) one can will decide a question about the equality of the neutrino physical mass to zero. But we can say that a non - zero interaction of Pauli arises at the expense of usual Dirac interaction. Thus, the neutrality of the neutrino in the loop approximation one must interpret as an indication to the new structure of electromagnetic gauge invariance.

References

[1] S. Davidson, B. Campbell and K.D. Bailey, *Phys. Rev.* **D 43**, 2314 (1991); I.S. Batkin and M.K. Sundaresan, *J. Phys.* **G 20**, 1749 (1994).

[2] K. Fujikawa and R.E. Shrock, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **45**, 963 (1980).

[3] R.S. Sharafiddinov, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ruz. Ser. Math. Tehn. Estest.* **7**, 25 (1998).

[4] R.G.H. Robertson et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 957, (1991).

[5] G. Bardin et al., *Phys. Lett.* **B 137**, 135 (1984).

[6] J. Bernstein, M. Ruderman and G. Feinberg, *Phys. Rev.* **132**, 1227 (1963).

[7] H.S. Gurr, F. Reines and H.W. Sobel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **28**, 1406 (1972).

[8] A.P. Rekalo, *Ukrain. Fiz. Zh.* **18**, 213 (1973).

[9] J. Panman, in *Proc. Int. Symp. on Lepton and Photon Interactions at High Energies*, Hamburg, 1987 (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987), p.553

[10] V.A. Lyubimov et al., *Phys. Lett.* **B 94**, 266 (1980).

[11] K. Enqvist, V. Semikoz, A. Shukurov and D. Sokoloff, *Phys. Rev.* **D 48**, 4557 (1993).

[12] J.L. Lucio Martinez, A. Rosado and A. Zepeda, *Phys. Rev.* **D 29**, 1539 (1984).